

IRRIGATION AND POWER DEPARTMENT

The 23rd June, 1976

No. 2480-2PWII-76/21875.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 36 of the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 and all other powers enabling him in this behalf the Governor of Haryana, in continuation of Haryana Government Notification No. 10410-2PWII-76/5848, dated 23rd February, 1976, hereby extends the period of deputation of Shri R. P. Manchanda as Chief Electrical Inspector to Government Haryana, for one year more from 28th May, 1976 to 27th May, 1977.

P. P. CAPRIHAN, Commissioner & Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 11th/28th June, 1976

No. 2117-4Lab-76/16623.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Dujodhwala Industries, 14/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

**BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD**

Reference No. 37 of 1974*between*

**SHRI GULAB SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S DUJODHWALA
INDUSTRIES, 14/1, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.**

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/73/7311, dated 21st March, 1974, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Dujodhwala Industries 14/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad, and its workman Shri Gulab Singh to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Gulab Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties put in their appearance in this Tribunal in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them and filed their pleadings. Necessary issues were framed.

The parties entered into a written settlement dated 11th February, 1976 and made a statement in terms thereof whereby the management agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 1,800/- to the workman in full and final satisfaction of all his claims including his right of reinstatement and back wages, against them on or before 18th February, 1976.

It would thus appear that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of the findings made above.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 274, dated 19th February, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 19th February, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 23rd June, 1976

No. 5168-4Lab-76/17769.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jai Mahabali Steels, Ambala City.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No 76 of 1974

between

SHRI RAM SINGARE, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT
OF M/S JAI MAHABALI, STEELS, AMBALA CITY

AWARD

By order No. ID/AMB/355-C-74/35858-62, dated 23rd June, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s. Jai Mahabali Steels, Ambala City and their workman Shri Ram Sangare, was referred to this Court for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Singare was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The parties put in their appearance in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them and filed their pleadings. They arrived at a mutual amicable settlement and made their statements in terms thereof before me on 19th May, 1976 whereby the management agreed to re-employ the workman in case he reported for duty within 15 days from the aforesaid date and further agreed to pay him his earned wages and wages for earned leave and retrenchment compensation if admissible to him. The workman in turn agreed that he shall have no other claim against the management.

I, accordingly, answer the reference while returning the award in terms of the aforesaid settlement.

Dated 20th May, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1295, dated the 22nd May, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

The 25th June, 1976

No. 4050-4Lab-76/18152.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Northern India Plywoods, 12/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 184 of 1975

between

SHRI DAYA RAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. NORTHERN INDIA
PLYWOODS, 12/3, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/259-A-75/70722, dated 11th December, 1975 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Northern India Plywoods, 12/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Daya Ram to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Daya Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Whereas the workman put in his appearance in this Tribunal on 22nd January, 1976 in response to the notice of reference sent to him, the management were not served for that date with the result that notices of reference were ordered to be sent to them again with a direction to them to appear before me on 19th April, 1976. Neither the workman appeared on 19th April, 1976 despite being directed to do so,—vide order dated 22nd January, 1976 nor the management put in their appearance on that date. A report was received that no responsible person was found in the premises of the industry for receiving notice of the reference and the Chowkidar present there declined to accept service.

The absence of the workman on 19th April, 1976 despite a specific direction to him to appear on that date and pursue his case indicated his want of interest to pursue the demand leading to the reference. I thus hold that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I answer the reference while returning the award in terms of the findings may by me.

MOHAN LAL JAIN

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Dated the 19th April, 1976.

No. 508, dated 20th April, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 4237-4Lab-76/18154.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the Management of M/s. Globe Motors Limited, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 61 of 1976

between

SHRI R. CHANDER KUMAR, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. GLOBE
MOTORS LIMITED, MATHURA, ROAD, FARIDABAD

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/129-G-68/8435, dated 1st March, 1976, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Globe Motors Limited, Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri R. Chander Kumar, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri R. Chander Kumar was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Neither the workman nor his authorised representative appeared before me on 23rd April, 1976 in response to the usual notices of reference served on Shri S. K. Jain, Chief Accountant, Free Wheel India Ltd; Faridabad through whom the workman had raised his demand.

The absence of the workman on the date of hearing fixed in the case despite service of the notice of reference on him on the address supplied by him indicates that he is not interested in pursuing the demand and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication.

I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in these terms.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Dated the 27th April, 1976.